



Net zero = the end of fossil fuels?




Author(s)

Michel Wiskirski

Published

June 11, 2021

Length

 3 minute(s) read

The International Energy Agency (IEA) published a Roadmap to Net Zero 2050 and it shows that we have a long way to go if we want to reach the objectives of the Paris Agreement. The study confirms the idea that switching off fossil fuels and switching on renewable energy sources is, at the very least, oversimplistic.

While oil and gas companies are a major part of the problem, they will also have to be part of the solution to reach the net zero target. The efforts along the whole supply chain of fossil fuel extraction to the clean alternatives at the pump can make a difference and must be acknowledged, monitored and encouraged through active stewardship.

What is Net Zero?

Reaching Net Zero means that net global greenhouse gas emissions should be zero. In other words, **the level of carbon dioxide we pump into the atmosphere as a result of our activity must be captured back down to the ground**. The Paris Agreement has set two main objectives by 2050: net zero emissions and the limitation of a global temperature rise to 1.5 degrees Celsius compared to the pre-industrial revolution era (according to the United Nations in 2020, temperature has already risen by 1 degree Celsius over the last century).

The International Energy Agency (IEA) published a [Roadmap to Net Zero 2050](#) and it shows that we have a long way to go if we want to reach the objectives of the Paris Agreement. The study shows that, taking into consideration all the climate commitments by countries globally, we are nowhere near close to zero. Some countries have pledged to net zero commitments without indicating clear pathways on how they intend to get there.

Why is it far more complex than some pretend?

The simple answer is that the entire energy system is very complex. It involves many players globally across the value chain. Furthermore, in order to avoid creating another problem by solving one, **the energy transition has to be inclusive**. The IEA report has put together a roadmap which, possibly, will lead to further restrictions, with certainly quite a few very headline grabbing points. For example: no new sales of fossil fuel boilers by 2025, no new coal mines or extensions from 2021, no new internal combustion engine car sales from 2035, and no new oil and gas fields approved for development, etc.

For some, these headlines may be easy to cheer as a victory against the fossil fuel industry but, in reality, very hard to implement uniformly across the globe. It is actually very encouraging to see the IEA recommendations regarding the increasing need to install renewable wind and solar energy by more than 1000 GW per annum by 2030, from a level of 220 GW installed in 2020 which was already a record breaking year.

The study confirms the idea that switching off fossil fuels and switching on renewable energy sources is, at the very least, oversimplistic.

Why new technologies are critical?

The IEA also recommends that in order to get to net zero, we must proceed in an orderly manner. This means that over the course of this decade, the focus will be on significant installation of existing technology while investing in R&D for technologies that we have yet to develop. After 2030, new technologies will be critical and, to put it differently, **they are the sine qua non condition if we want to reach the net zero target**. For example: utility scale energy storage, hydrogen as an energy source, direct air capture, and storage to name a few.



Looking at the energy system globally, oil and gas will have a major role to play up to 2050, a very different role admittedly, as no new fields should be approved on top of the ones that are already approved to date. For oil, it is expected that demand by 2050 will drop by 75% compared to 2020, limiting the usage of the non-burning part to supply sub-industries like petrochemicals until there is an alternative solution. The maritime sector will also face infrastructure challenges due to the lifetime of the shipping vessels. As for gas, the IEA predicts that it will peak around the middle of this decade and, by 2050, it will drop by around 55% compared to 2020.

What are the consequences?

Shutting down oil and gas today might generate major social problems that are currently overlooked and ignored by the wider public. It is estimated that around 40 million people globally are directly employed by the oil and gas industry, while many regions within the developing economies thrive or rely solely on the oil and gas industry.

To achieve net zero by 2050, all the business sectors, governmental bodies, and consumer behaviour will need to change. It seems naïve and misinformed to call one sector 'bad' or 'dirty', hence **our preferred approach to finding a transitional solution that leads to a better outcome, inclusive for all.**

Oil and gas companies have a lot to bring to the table, if they acknowledge that their business model needs to evolve to support the energy transition. Said differently, they are a major part of the problem, but they will also have to be part of the solution to reach the net zero target. We are already seeing some European oil majors making commitments to this transformation. It may not be seen as moving fast enough by those who want these companies to completely divest away from their oil and gas business. However, their efforts along the whole supply chain of fossil fuel extraction to the clean alternatives at the pump can make a difference and must be acknowledged, monitored, and encouraged through active stewardship.

Discover Carmignac Portfolio Green Gold



A sustainable equity fund acting for climate change mitigation

- Investing with a purpose: Aiming to generate attractive returns on the long-term while having a positive environmental contribution.
- Investing efficiently: Targeting innovative companies across the whole renewable and green industry value chains.
- Investing sustainably: Seeking to invest at least 60% of its assets in companies contributing to climate change mitigation¹.

SFDR² Fund Classification: Article 9

¹According to EU taxonomy standards.

²Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) 2019/2088. For more information please refer to [EUR-lex](#).

Discover our approach to responsible investment:

Discover now

Carmignac Portfolio Green Gold

← Lower risk Higher risk
Potentially lower return Potentially
higher return
investment horizon →

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6*
- 7

STOCKS: The Fund may be affected by stock price variations, the scale of which is dependent on external factors, stock trading volumes or market capitalization.

COMMODITIES: Changes in commodity prices and the volatility of the sector may cause the net asset value to fall.

CURRENCY: Currency risk is linked to exposure to a currency other than the Fund's valuation currency, either through direct investment or the use of forward financial instruments.

DISCRETIONARY MANAGEMENT: Anticipations of financial market changes made by the Management Company have a direct effect on the Fund's performance, which depends on the stocks selected.

The Fund presents a risk of loss of capital.

*For A EUR ACC share class. Risk Scale from the KIID (Key Investor Information Document). Risk 1 does not mean a risk-free investment. This indicator may change over time.

This document may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, without prior authorisation from the management company. It does not constitute a subscription offer, nor does it constitute investment advice. The information contained in this document may be partial information, and may be modified without prior notice. In the United Kingdom, this article was prepared by Carmignac Gestion and/or Carmignac Gestion Luxembourg and is being distributed in the UK by Carmignac Gestion Luxembourg UK Branch (Registered in England and Wales with number FC031103, CSSF agreement of 10/06/2013).